# **Historic, Archive Document**

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE 2 U.S., AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SERVICE

3

Ta Washington, D. C.

ARS 53-1

October 1954





DIRECTORY OF U.S.R.O.P. BREEDERS QUALIFYING FOR THE U.S. REGISTER OF MERIT BREEDING STAGE



Reg. U. S. Pat. Off.

The production records of U.S.R.O.P. breeders are submitted to and checked by State R.O.P. Supervisors, whose names and addresses are as follows:

Alabama - Pyron Keener, Poultry Division, Department of Agriculture & Industries, Montgomery 1 Arizona - W. R. Van Sant, Poultry and Dairy Specialist, University of Arizona, Tucson Connecticut - William A. Aho, Connecticut Poultry Improvement Association, Inc., Storrs Delaware - George Bringhurst, State Board of Agriculture, Dover Florida - D. C. Gilles, Florida State Livestock Sanitary Board, Tallahassee Idaho - Robert E. Black, Idaho Poultry Improvement Association, Boise Illinois - Clarence Ems, Illinois Department of Agriculture, Springfield Indiana - B. B. Bohren, Purdue University, Lafayette Iowa - Richard O'Connell, Iowa Poultry Improvement Supervisory Board, 4092 Douglas, Ames Kansas - M. E. Jackson, Kansas State College, Manhattan Kentucky - John W. Tuttle, Kentucky Poultry Improvement Association, Lexington Louisiana - John M. Benge, La. Livestock Sanitary Board, Box 4003, Capitol Station, Baton Rouge 4 Maryland - C. S. Shaffner, Poultry Department, University of Maryland, College Park Massachusetts - Julius Kroeck, Massachusetts Department of Agriculture, 41 Tremont St., Boston 8 Michigan - Howard C. Zindel, Michigan State College, East Lansing Minnesota - W. K. Dyer, Minnesota Poultry Improvement Board, 611 State Office Building, St. Paul 1 Mississippi - Paul Yount, Mississippi Poultry Improvement Association, State College Missouri - E. M. Funk, Missouri Poultry Improvement Association, Columbia Nebraska - Doyle H. Free, Nebraska Poultry Improvement Supervisory Board, Lincoln New Hampshire - E. T. Bardwell, New Hampshire Poultry Improvement Board, Inc., Durham New Jersey - B. K. Messersmith, Department of Agriculture, Trenton 8 New York - C. H. Padgham, Poultry Improvement Board of New York, Inc., Rice Hall, Ithaca North Carolina - C. J. Maupin, State College of Agriculture, Raleigh Ohio - Robert L. Hooker, Ohio Poultry Improvement Association, Columbus 10 Oklahoma - Carl Wiok, State Board of Agriculture, Oklahoma City 5 Oregon - Earl Reitsma, Oregon Department of Agriculture, 208 Poultry Bldg., Corvallis Pennsylvania - E. J. Lawless, Jr., Pennsylvania Department of Agriculture, Harrisburg South Carolina - P. H. Gooding, South Carolina Poultry Improvement Association, Clemson Texas - Bill H. Doran, Texas A & M College, College Station Utah - C. Elmer Clark, Utah State College, Logan Vermont - Allan Speir, Vermont Department of Agriculture, Montpelier Virginia - Harry L. Moore, Virginia Polytechnic Institute, Blacksburg 12 Washington - Dr. D. F. Allmendinger, Washington Poultry Improvement Association, Puyallup Wisconsin - Arnie Guthrie, Department of Agriculture, Madison 2

Information in this report, previously designated as A.H.D. 122, was prepared by the Animal and Poultry Husbandry Research Branch, Agricultural Research Service.

Washington, D. C.

October 1954

#### DIRECTORY OF U.S.R.O.P. BREEDERS QUALIFYING FOR THE U.S. REGISTER OF MERIT BREEDING STAGE 1962-53

The purpose of this directory is to make available a list of U. S. R.O.P. breeders who qualified U.S.R.O.M. birds, showing the number of their sires and dams that met the progeny test requirements of U. S. Register of Merit, the highest breeding stage of the National Poultry Improvement Plan. Records were submitted from 32 States representing 112 breeders and 138 flocks at the completion of the 1952-53 trap nest record year. These records were transferred to punch cards, analyzed on a family basis and a U.S.R.O.P. Production and Pedigree Report prepared for each flock. Since the report shows the performance records for all daughters entered in U.S.R.O.P. by families, it supplies the necessary information for this publication.

#### Requirements for U. S. Register of Merit and U. S. R.O.M. Honor Roll

A male in a supervised single-male mating is considered to be a U.S.R.O.M. sire when a minimum of 20 and at least one-half of his daughters entered in U.S.R.O.P. qualify as U.S.R.O.P. females. A female member of a supervised single-male mating qualifies as a U.S.R.O.M. dam when a minimum of four and at least one-half of her daughters entered in U.S.R.O.P. qualify as U.S.R.O.P. females.

The U.S.R.O.M. Honor Roll gives special recognition to high family egg production on the basis of all birds entered, thus taking into account both production and viability. The qualifying requirements for the U.S.R.O.M. Honor Roll are as follows:

U.S.R.O.M. Honor Roll sire: A U.S.R.O.M. sire whose daughters had a family average egg weight of 24 or more ounces per dozen and egg production as follows:

Number of daughters	Egg Prod	uction	Number of daughters	Egg Production
wanga voa o	300 days	365 days	a	300 days 365 days
	%	No.		% No.
40 or more	60.0	200	32	66.0 219
39	60.6	202	31	66.6 222
38	61.5	204	30	67.6 225
37	62.0	206	29	69.0 230
36	62.3	208	28	70.6 235
35	63.0	210	27	72.0 240
34	64.0	213	26	73.6 245
33	65.0	216	25	75.0 250

U.S.R.O.M. Honor Roll dam: A U.S.R.O.M. dam whose daughters had a family average egg weight of 24 or more ounces per dozen, and egg production as follows:

Num			-								Egg Produ	oti on
											300 days	365 days
											Я	No.
8	or	٠,	10	re							60.0	200
7	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		63.0	210
6	•		٠	•		٠			۰		67.6	225
5	•	•	•	•	•	٠		٠	•	•	75.0	250

The number of breeders and flocks having U.S.R.O.M. birds and the number of U.S.R.O.M. and U.S.R.O.M. Honor Roll sires and dams, by States, are shown in Table 1.



Table 1. -- U. S. Register of Merit data, by States, for the 1952-55 trap nest record year.

	Breeders at			and USROM Ho		
State	with USRO	M birds	3	ires	Dan	
				Honor		Honor
	Breeders	Flocks	USROM	Roll	USROM	Roll
	Number	Number	Number	Number	N <sub>n</sub> mper	Number
Alabama	1	1	0	0	1	0
Arizona	1	2	1	1	10	8
Connecticut	4	5	21	19	120	88
Delaware	1	1	0	0	4	1
Flori da	3	4	9	0	68	30
Idaho	1	1	1	0	17	8
Hinois -	2	3	30	26	193	129
Indiana	5	7	70	57	762	546
I owa.	4	4	8	5	56	25
Kentucky	3	3	13	7	65	40
Louisiana	1	1	2	1	22	10
Massachusetts	4	4	23	18	178	110
di chigan	10	11	28	14	141	77
dinne so ta	2	3	49	46	345	287
ouisiana assachusetts chigan nnesota assissippi assouri ebraska ew Hampshire ew Jersey ew York orth Carolina	1	1	14	14	144	123
Missouri	5	7	6	3	49	17
lebraska	1	2	3	2	22	7
New Hampshire	6	6	40	27	265	180
lew Jersey	5	5	28	22	246	158
lew York	2	4	11	3	94	40
forth Carolina	1	1	3	3	20	13
hio	10	10	80	52	501	285
klahoma	3	3	4	2	20	9
regon	6	6	47	42	238	179
ennsylvania	5	5	47	44	352	308
outh Carolina	2	2	5	4	27	12
[exa.s	6	6	122	114	745	569
itah	1	1	2	0	12	4
Termont	1	1	0	0	5	1
irginia	3	3	12	6	63	43
ashington	5	7	14	7	83	34
Wisconsin	2	2	8	2	31	13
otal	107	122	701	541	4,899	3,354

The number of U.S.R.O.M. birds and the number qualifying for the U.S.R.O.M. Honor Roll are tabulated in Table 2 according to breed and variety. The five most popular breeds and varieties account for 99.6 percent of the U.S.R.O.M. sires and dams. Of all birds qualifying, 71.9 percent were White Leghorns; 12.7 percent New Hampshires; 5.9 percent Rhode Island Reds; 6.0 percent White Plymouth Rocks and 3.1 percent Barred Plymouth Rocks. Approximately 77 and 69 percent of the U.S.R.O.M. sires and dams respectively, qualified for the honor roll.

Table 2. -- Number of U. S. Register of Merit and U.S.R.O.M. Honor Roll Sires and Dams by Breeds and Varieties. - 1952-53

	Si	res	Deu	ns
Breed and Variety	U.S.R.O.M.	Honor Roll	U.S.R.O.M.	Honor Roll
Single-Comb White Leghorn	495	418	3,533	2,604
New Hampshire	93	43	618	329
White Plymouth Rock	48	26	286	113
Single-Comb Rhode Island Red	40	35	290	197
Barred Plymouth Rock	22	18	154	106
Black Australorp	2	1	14	5
White Wyandotte	1	0	4	0
Total	701	541	4,899	3,354

There were 20,372 sires and dams progeny-tested in 1952-53. The summary of U.S.R.O.M. data by years is shown in Table 3.

Table 4 shows the average production of all birds entered by breeds and varieties for the years 1939-40 to 1952-53.

The summary of U.S.R.O.M. analysis for all flocks is shown in Table 5.

Table 3. -- Summary of U. S. Register of Merit Data Since Inauguration of the National Poultry Improvement Plan 1/

			1			-1	ı	ı	١		-	-	t	ı		ı		
	1935	1936	~	1938	1939				ŀ	1944	1945	1946			1949		1921	1952
Item	-36	-36 -37	-38	-39	-40	-41	-42	-43	-44	-45	-46	-47	-48	-49	-20	-51	-52	-53
	No	No.	ı	No	No.		l		ŀ	No.	No	No.			No.		No.	No.
											_							
States with U.S.R.O.M. birds	2	9	9	24	24					34	35	39			34		33	32
Breeders with U.S.R.O.M. birds	18	22	21	65	92					158	167	173			167		136	101
Flooks with U.S.R.O.M. birds	18	24	24	89	96					188	202	213			198		159	122
U.S.R.O.M. sires	36	25	68	155	191					707	964	729			829		849	701
U.S.R.O.M. dams	144	310	418	809	1,1531	- 7	2	N	~	,785	1,745	1,236	S	S	5,428		5,628	4,899
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				-	-				-			-	ı	Ī				

1/ The qualifying requirement was raised beginning with the 1946-47 trap nest record year, from "at least one-third" to "at least one-half" of the daughters entered.

Table 4. -- Average Production of Pullets Entered, by Breeds and Varieties for the Years 1939-40 to 1952-53

Single-Comb White Leghorn         168         177         181         176         180         189 <th>181 175 180 1 179 1 179 1 179</th> <th>No. 187 186</th> <th>No. No.</th> <th>No.</th> <th>2001</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>400</th> <th>7.4</th> <th></th>	181 175 180 1 179 1 179 1 179	No. 187 186	No. No.	No.	2001			400	7.4	
No.         No. <th>181 175 180 1 179 181 179 1</th> <th>No. 187 186</th> <th></th> <th>_</th> <th></th> <th>* TC =</th> <th></th> <th>- 36.*</th> <th>400</th> <th></th>	181 175 180 1 179 181 179 1	No. 187 186		_		* TC =		- 36.*	400	
168         177         181         175         180         184         187           164         189         179         181         179         188         186           160         162         174         167         161         168         168           144         144         153         158         167         163         151           147         158         167         153         163         174         178           125         125         140         124         126         152         164	181 175 180 179 181 179				No.	No.	% No.	20	No.	<i>b</i> %
164     189     179     181     179     188     186       160     162     174     167     161     168     168       144     144     153     158     157     163     151       147     158     167     153     163     174     178       125     125     140     124     126     152     164	179 181 179	_			204	_		60.3	221	63.7
160     162     174     167     161     168     168       144     144     153     158     157     163     151       147     158     167     153     163     174     178       126     125     140     124     126     152     164			_	_	902	_		58.3	197	51.7
t 144 144 155 158 167 163 151 144 178 167 165 151 151 158 167 158 163 174 178 126 125 140 124 126 152 164	174 167 161		_	_	172	185 53.0	0 193	53.9	191	52.7
c 147 158 167 153 163 174 178 125 125 125 140 124 126 152 164	153   158   157	_	_	_	168	_	-	52.3	202	54.5
126 125 140 124 126 152 164	167 153 163	_	_		194	_		59.0	182	58.1
	140 124 126			147	171	-	-	44.7	191	44.3
All breeds 179 179 18	176 171 173	179	186 191	189	193	200 56.7	7 217	57.0	213	58.9

\* Average egg production is reported in No. and %, the No. being used for flecks using the 365-day qualifying period and % for flecks using the 300-day qualifying period.

Table 5. -- Summary of U.S.R.O.M. and U.S.R.O.M. Honor Roll for all Flocks, 1952-53

Number of bedigreed U.S.R.O.P. candidates entered	Percentage of pedigreed U.S.R.O.P. candidates qualifying.	Number of sires progeny-tested	207		22.2	Percentage of stres qualifying for U.S.R.O.M. Honor Roll		Number of dams qualifying for U.S.R.O.M	Percentage of dams qualifying for U.S.R.O.W.	Number of dams qualifying for U.S.R.O.M. Honor Roll	Percentage of dams qualifying for U.S.R.O.M. Honor Roll
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
•	•	•	:	•	:	•	•	•	•		
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
	•	•					•		:		
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
									•		
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•
٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
•	:	:	:	•	:			:	:	:	
•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	٠
•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	:	•	•						:		
•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠
•	•	٠	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠
•	•	:		•	:	:		•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	٠	٠	•	•	٠
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•			•	•		•		•	•	•	
•	•	•	٠	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠
•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
•	•			•		•		•			
•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠
•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•
•	80	•	•	•	•	_	٠	٠	•	•	•
•	ļn	•	•	•	•	5	•	•	•	•	H
•	4	•	•	•	Ξ	PH L	•	•	•		Ro
ק	81			•	89	0g				0	OF
916	ď	٠	•	•	P.	ЭН	•	•	•	E L	Hon
pt	98	•	•	ž	ğ	ě	•	•	٠	0g	[°]
89	dat			o	щ.	ġ		•	5	H	00
4	ij		».≪	S. R	E.	3. R	•	ż	E,	M	R
1 de	Can	•	R.O	U.S	R.C	U.S	•	o	S	o	S
pa	•	•	ŝ	H	ŝ	h	•	S.R	D.	S.R	D.
8	P.F	•	'n	å	Ď	å		S° D	for	o o	for
p,	8	ted	Sor	ng	Por	ng	ğ	'n	7,6	1	16
o	S	98	P0	Ξ	80	Z	St	4	Ī	٠ <u>٠</u>	7
S. R	P	1	d L	11	T	7	÷	ing	111	ing	111
þ	9	ne.	4	D.	4	ď	B	2	UB	2	ue
pe	To the	rog	ua]	80	ual	8	oge	911	8	a11	8
9	bec	D	6	LI	0	HL	pr	8	lam	da	lam
di g	ם ע	res	res	G.	rea	f B	811	810	d d	8	d d
Dec	0	81	81	0	81	0	da	da	0	de	9
of	8	of	of	BR	of	88	Jo	of	S P	of G	B P
16	ent	9 L	Number of stres qualifying for U.S.R.O.M	Percentage of stress qualifying for U.S.R.O.M	Number of sires qualifying for U.S.R.O.M. Honor Roil	ent	Number of dams progeny-tested	10	ent	er.	ent
ŏ	Ö	qu	dh	C	1p	Ö	q	q	Ö	Q	0
1	~		-	~	Ħ	2	⇒	Ħ	-2	₽	7

### U. S. Register of Merit Summary

The summary for each breeder having U.S.R.O.M. birds should be of special interest to the prospective purchaser of poultry breeding stock. In this summary the names and addresses of the 107 U.S.R.O.P. breeders having birds to qualify for U.S. Register of Merit are listed in alphabetical sequence by breeds. The pullorum classification, the trap nesting and progeny-test results and the average performance are given for the pedigreed candidates of each flock.

The first breeder listed is Alabama Poultry Farm, Notasulga, Alabama. This flock consisted of Single-Comb White Leghorns and met the requirements for the U.S. Pullorum-Clean class. There was a total of 232 Single-Comb White Leghorn pullets on this farm of which 222 pedigreed candidates were entered and 47 qualified for U.S.R.O.P. The average egg production of the 222 pedigreed candidates entered was 127 eggs in 365 days of production. The average egg weight and average body weight of these pedigreed candidates was 24.9 ounces per dozen, and 5.0 pounds, respectively. Ten sires were progeny-tested, two of which had 40 or more daughters and five had 25 or more daughters entered. None of the 10 sires qualified for U.S.R.O.M. or the U.S.R.O.M. Honor Roll. Sixty-three dams were progeny-tested, of which 4 had eight or more daughters entered and one qualified for U.S.R.O.M. And none qualified for U.S.R.O.M. Honor Roll.

#### Use of the Directory

This directory and the preceding ones in the series are the only sources of published information on the results of official progeny testing. The publication should be useful to prospective purchasers of foundation poultry breeding stock in selecting good sources of supply. \* The breeder who has a relatively high percentage of sires and dams that qualify for U.S.R.O.M., in general, will have better than avarage stock for flock improvement purposes. Those breeders with a relatively largs number qualifying for the U.S.R.O.M. Honor Roll are especially to be recommended.

It is generally recognized that many U.S.R.O.M. sires and dams have reached the end of their usefulness before they are given official recognition, but sons and daughters of an outstanding mating of a U.S.R.O.M. sire and dam are usually retained for use in U.S.R.O.P. matings, and chicks and hatching eggs are available from them.

The flock average of all birds entered is also a good criterion of the general performance of the strain. The daughters of the U.S.R.O.M. sires and dams are definitely superior to the average pedigreed U.S.R.O.P. candidates. In 1952-53 the daughters of the U.S.R.O.M. sires averaged 235 and 64.8 percent and the U.S.R.O.M. dams, 238 and 66.7 percent per bird. The number and percent egg production are for flocks qualifying on the 365- and 300-day basis, respectively.

\* Another source of data on the performance of birds trap nested under U.S.R.O.P. supervision is the Annual R.O.P. Summary (A.P.H. No. 125), published in February of each year for the preceding trap nest record year, by the Animal & Poultry Husbandry Research Branch.

## Explanation of Symbols and Abbreviations Used in the Summary which follows

1/ The pullorum class is not necessarily the current classification. The prospective purchaser should check the pullorum status with the breeder or his ROP supervisor. The class shown is that reported for the 1952-53 Annual R.C.P. Summary. The classes are indicated as follows:

PCd - - U. S. Pullorum-Controlled

PP - - U. S. Pullorum-Passed

PC - - U. S. Pullorum-Clean

2/Average egg production of pedigreed U.S.R.O.P. candidates is computed by dividing total egg production by number of candidates. The average for flocks using the 365-day qualifying period is reported in number of eggs, while the average for flocks using the 300-day qualifying period is shown in percent production during 300-days.

										Sires		-		Dams	sc	
	/ī s	u	Ped	Pedigreed U.S.R Candidates	S.R.O.P.	n:		д.	Progeny Tested		Qualified for	fied	Progeny Tested		Qualified for	ied
Breeder's Name and Address	Pullorum Class	Pullets on Farr	Entered	bəilifanQ	Av. Egg Production 2	Av. Egg Wt.	Av. Body Wt.	Total	Daughters With 40	With 25 or more Daughters	U.S.R.O.M.	U.S.R.O.M. IloA TonoH	Total With 8	Or more Daughters	.M.O.A.2.U	M.C.A.R.O.M. Iloa TonoH
SINGLE-COMB WHITE LEGHORNS		No.	No.	No.	No.	% Oz.	Lbs	o'N	No.	No.	9	No.	No.	ė,	ó	No.
Alabama Poultry Farm Notasulga, Alabama	PC	850	222	47	127 -	24.9	5.0	10	2	5	0	0	63	4	- 1	0
Arnoldi Poultry Farm Sheboygan Falls, Wisconsin	PP	4,000	498	304	203 -	26.9	5.7	13	7	6	8	2 25	55	5	21	10
Avery, G. W. & Son Tualatin, Oregon	PC	3,000	800	571	237 -	25.9	4.4	11	10	11	11 1	1	120	44	7.1	64
Becker's Ravenswood Leghorn Farm Oxford, Florida	PC	2,900	993	440	- 621	25.1	4.3	12	12	12	4	0	129	58	39	18
Blankenbiller, Wayne Rt. 2, Box 529, Port Orchard, Washington	. PC	2,218	198	148	- 71.3	3 25.8	4.5	8	-	4	4	4	41	5	18	14
Brender's Leghorns Ferndale, New York	PC	18,000	1,022	610	- 61.	.0 26.2	4.5	56	3	16	5	2 2	239	23	56	23
Burnham, M. L. Hatchery & Poultry Farm Adams, Nebraska	PP	800	374	181	- 56.	0 26.2	4.5	7	9	9	3	2	105	9	13	4
Burr's Poultry Farm Tunkhannock, Pennsylvania	PC	2,400	2,400	1,970	- 692	25.8	4.8	19	19	19	19	19 1.	170	153 1	59	157
Cambrook Poultry Farm Brecksville, Ohio	PC	2,000	425	247	- 60.	2 25.9	4.4	∞	9	80	τ.	4	130	-	16	9
Cashman's Leghorn Farm Webster, Kentucky	PC	1,653	678	464	222 -	26.7	5.3	6	7	8	7	7	9.8	40	48	36
Coles, W. B. Airpoint, Virginia	PC	3,800	518	194	- 48.	3 25.8	4.5	∞	00	8	0	0	80	25	15	7
Colonial Poultry Farm Pleasant Hill, Missouri	PP	301	283	150	215 -	2	8.4.8	19	0	4	1	1	101	0	8	3
Creighton Brothers Rt. 5, Warsaw, Indiana	PC	82,352	6,170	4,516	- 68.	0 26.2	4.9	52	47	50	49 4	49 6	799	431 5	582	484
Del Rio Farm, (George G. Haws) Rt. 1, Box 460, Mesa, Arizona	PP	366	55	22	- 47.	.3 25.3	4.8	2	-	-	0	0	12	2	-	-
Dembro Poultry Farm Sewell, New Jersey	PC	12,000	1,594	722	181 -	25.2	4.5	24	24	24	7	5 2	208	105	79	36
Densmore Poultry Farm Box 267, Roanoke 2, Virgnia	PC	4,000	662	468	- 62.	3 25.4	4.1	12	11	12	11	9	174	27	45	36
Dublin Poultry Farm Dublin, Texas	PC	2,000	266	628	- 73.	0 24.8	4.9	19	17	19	17	17 2	256	20	63	48
Eberhardt Poultry Farm West Liberty, Illinois	PC	2,000	455	270	198 -	26.3	4.3	10	9	6	9	4	117	14	97	11
Edmonds, George W. Luverne, Minnesota	PP	2,000	491	304	208 -	25.5	5.0	17	5	6	9	4	97	21	32	19
Erath Egg Farm Stephenville, Texas	PC	1,000	1,000	708	- 70.	3 25.8	5.5	18	15	16	16	16	137	65	91	72
Feather Hill Farm Dade City, Florida	PC	4,000	489	239	- 55.	7 25.7	4.2	18	5	7	r.	0	130	13	17	6
Fonk's Poultry Farm Kenosha, Wisconsin	- PCd	5,500	400		174 -	25.6	5.0	6	4	8	0	0	100	12	01	3

								-		Single			_	L a C	U	
			д	Pedigreed U.S.R.O.P	U.S.R	.0.P.		_		2			-	3		
	∕ī ss	w.		Cand	Candidates				Pro	Progeny Tested	ã	Qualifiec for		Progeny Tested	Qualified	fled
Breeder's Name and Address	Pullorum Clas	Pullets on Far	Entered	bəilifsuQ	Av. Egg Production	/7	Av. Egg Wt.	Av. Body Wt.	Total With 40 en more	Daughters With 25 or more	Daughers U.S.R.O.M.	.M.O.R.2.U	IstoT	With 8 or more Daughters	.м.о.я.г.	U.S.R.O.M. Honor Roll
SCWL (Continued) Foreman Doultry Farm		No.	No.	No.	No.	%	0z.	Lbs.	No.	S.	N	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
Lowell, Michigan	PC	3,000	999	440	-	68.7	25.6	5.4	21	8	6	8	135	27	44	35
Gasson's Poultry Farm Waynesville, Ohio	PC	7,698	1,856	1,353	234	-	25.7	5.1	25 2	5 2	5 25	25	296	84	191	138
Ghostley, George F. Anoka, Minnesota	PC	40,000	4,144	3,251	260	'	25.7	5.0	46 3	38 41	41	41	446	197	299	263
Golden Oak Poultry Farm Box ''K'', DeLeon, Texas	PC	2,650	964	518	-	62.7	25.2	4.7	2.1	14 1	8 12	=	209	25	50	34
Graybill's Poultry Farm, Leo J. Graybill McAlisterville, Pennsylvania	PC	4,000	942	586	1	70.9	25.1	5.0	14	3 14	=	=	206	28	29	53
Hamilton Poultry Farm Hamilton, Michigan	PC	3,300	152	114	ı	0.99	27.4	5.0	12	0	0	0	89	0	3	-
Hannah, W. S. & Sons 2055 Eastern Ave., Grand Rapids, Michigan	PC	5,800	1,194	394	1	50.0	25.0	3.9	16 1	0	0	0	143	74	14	7
王	PP	1,400	386	176	1	51.7	25.6	4.5	10	4	8	0	84	=	12	4
P Hansen's Leghorn City 6003 N. Meridian, Puyallup, Washington	PC	2,600	334	140	-	55.0	25.3	4.1	13	2	9	-	57	16	13	10
Hanson, J. A. Corvallis, Oregon	PC	2,400	2,147	1,301	238	-	25.4	5.0	40 2	29 36	32	30	531	59	127	76
Harmony Farms Box 377, Lakewood, New Jersey	PC	4,000	477	340	ı	69.4	25.6	4.6	27	2	5 4	4	110	24	41	33
Hiles Poultry Farm Mt. Vernon, Ohio	PC	2,966	246	165	ı	61.9	26.7	4.5	80	2	6 4	3	32	18	19	16
Honeggers' Breeder Hatchery Forrest, Illinois	PC	8,000	2,369	1,476	1	63.0	25.7	4.5	25 2	24 24	1 23	21	219	169	159	117
Hunter Poultry Farm Elk City, Oklahoma	PC	700	126	104	256	'	1.92	5.2	9	0	3	7	99		3	2
Ideal Hatchery & Poultry Farm Cameron, Texas	PC	3,735	3,057	2,128	ı	65.7	25.9	5.0 2	23 2	23 2	3 23	22	357	162	201	150
Johnson, M. Poultry Ranch Bowie, Texas	PC	3,500	2,833	1,615	214	'	25.8	4.5	94 2	95 97	31	56	929	42	152	107
Kickasola, L. A. Box 41, Delmont, New Jersey	PC	2,000	318	226	522	-	26.5	4.6 2	63	0 3	2		57	∞	36	19
Larson, Archie M. Rt. 3, Weiser, Idaho	PC	455	449	175	181	1	25.3	4.1	12	5	9 1	0	75	20	17	8
Leonard's Hatchery & Breeding Farm Osage, Iowa	PP	3,000	471	997	1	0.09	26.1	4.4	6	6 7	9	3	61	27	.30	18
Lindstrom Poultry Farm Clinton, Missouri	PP	221	199	110	196		27.3	5.1 2	24	0	0	0	119	0	3	0
Lux Leghorn Land Farms, Inc. Hopkinton, lowa	PP	5,000	355	. 221	235	-	25.2	4.5 2	0	1 4	2	7	165	0	11	4
Manwaring Leghorn Farm Mentone, Indiana	PC	20,416	819	431	ı	60.0	26.0	4.3 2	67	8 19	7	9	201	14	43	15
						4		1								

SCWL (Continued) Moll's Breeding Farm									-		r	F	-			
Wauseon, Ohio	PC	1,804	246	104	'	50.6	26.0	4.1	2	~	4	-	0 36	5 12	7	3
Moore Haven Farm Laurel, Mississippi	PC	3,308	1,489	1,249	•	76.0	25.1	4.7	14	14	14 1	14 14	1 273	3 70	144	123
Nan's Leghorn Farm Farmersville, Ohio	PC	2,535	446	267	•	59.5	26.5	5.2	8	9	8	9	3 71	97 1	37	18
Oklahoma A & M Tech. College Okmulgee, Oklahoma	PC	2,400	137	51	193	1	24.9	4.4	2	-	2	0	0 43	3 2	2	2
Osborne Farm Box 906, Holland, Michigan	PC	146	146	110	'	7.07	25.5	5.0	7	0	2	-	1 68		∞	3
Park 's Poultry Farm East River Road, Cortland, New York	PC	249	209	152	•	66.3	27.3	.3	10	0	5	2	0 51	1	17	8
Pinecroft Poultry Farm Owosso, Michigan	PC	200	184	97	1	55.7	27.0	5.1	4	2	4	2	0 59	9 2	5	3
Rogove Poultry Farm RFD #3, Lakewood, New Jersey	PC	8,000	672	334	ı	57.0	26.0	4.2	11	8	8	3	0 79	9 42	25	14
Rowley's Poultry Farm RFD #2, Box 33, Puyallup, Washington	PC	1,700	165	77	1	59.7	25.3	4.7	10	0	1	0	92 0	, 0	1	0
Sluder Leghorn Breeding Farm Dill City, Oklahoma	PC	099	548	216	ı	51.1	26.0	5.0	9	9	9	1	0 59	9 31	15	5
Stanek's Poultry Farm Meshoppen, Pennsylvania	PC	2,100	901	454	198	ı	25.2	4.6	10	10	10	4,	3 109	9 54	43	30
Sterling Poultry Farm Sterling, Michigan	PC	800	283	109	170	ı	25.3	5.1	11	1	5	1	0 40	0 20	10	5
Summer Grove Egg Farm Keithville, Louisiana	PC	4,000	848	295	174	1	24.6	4.9	8	80	8	2	1 141	1 43	22	10
Townline Poultry Farm	PC	3,000	450	226	•	56.7	26.5	4.5	10	7	8	3	2 139	9 5	18	7
Twin Oak Poultry Farm St.Paul, Oregon	PC	10,000	529	189	,	0.09	24.8	2	=		10			5 34	12	10
Watkins, Roy L. Keosauqua, lowa	PC	500	187	107		0.09	25.7	4.4	∞	0	4	0	69 0	1	7	2
Wayne Poultry Farm Wooster, Ohio	PC	1,434	418	249	,	57.8	27.5	6.	10	4	6	5	2 48	8 24	24	10
Williams Poultry Farm Box 302, Denison, Texas	PC	3,489	2,013	1,575	246	1	26.6	5.0	23	22	22 2	23 2	22 359	9 106	188	158
Yesterlaid Poultry Farm Tampa, Florida	PC	3,000	248	40	113	'	25.3	4.8	10	2	3	0	0 74	4 6	2	1
NEW HAMPSHIRES																
Bell, Harold K., Bell Poultry Farm Clarksville, Pennsylvania	PC	3,815	382	293	230	,	2.92	6.3	8	4	7	9	66 9	9 12	31	25
Boyington, G. A. Hood River, Oregon	PC	1,800	374	206	1	60.3	2.92	6.2	2.1	1	2	2	0 118	3	18	5
Brightwater Farms Hendersonville, North Carolina	PC	1,275	331	199	215	'	25.8	5.7	14	2	7	8	3 96	9	20	13
Adams, Nebraska	PP	403	396	144		52.0	25.0	5.6	9	9	9	0	0 94	10	6	3
Colonal Poutry Farm Pleasant Hill, Missouri	PP	305	289	151	201	1	25.7	0.9	23	-	3	2	2 102	3	13	9
Edward's Research Farms Springfield, Missouri	PP	1,750	926	146	1	28.3	25.2	6.5	64	-	=	0	0 312	11	2	0
Feather Hill Farm Dade City, Florida Flandon Danit France	PC	2,000	576	185	,	51.0	25.2	5.4	10	9	6	0	0 80	0 33	10	2
Figures Fourty Farm Rt. #2, Box 228, Louisville, Kentucky	PC	1,032	320	183	'	55.0	27.0	6.3	15	1	80	3	0 115	1	9	2

			P		1 2	0 0 0				Sire	S				Dams	
	∫į ss	w.	1	Candi	Candidates				Pro	Progeny Tested	Öng	Qualified for		Progeny Tested	Qualified	fied
Breeder's Name and Address	Pullorum Cla	Pullets on Fa	Entered	Dailified	Av. Egg Production	Production 2/	Av. Egg Wt.	Av. Body Wt.	Total With 40	or more Daughters With 25 or more	Daughters U.S.R.O.M.	.M.O.A.2.U	IstoT	With 8 or more Daughters	.M.O.A.2.U	U.S.R.O.M. Ilog ronoH
NH (Continued) Fors Hatchery & Breeding Farm		No.	No.	No.	No.	₽%	Oz.	Lbs.	No.	No	ž	8 N	N	No.	No.	No.
Rt. #3, Box 990, Puyallup, Washington	PC	3,000	926	394	•	54.0	26.5	6.7	36	8	13 3	0	157	41	22	4
Hank's Hennery Newmarket, New Hampshire	PC	5,621	794	587	230	1	26.6	9.9	18	10 1	14 13	12	85	55	72	19
Hubbard Farms, Inc. Walpole, New Hampshire	PC	26,673	2,274	1,046	1	55.3	25.4	5.8	45	30 3	9 12	5	212	167	95	50
Martin's Hatchery, Inc. Ramsey, Indiana	<u>Б</u>	17,066	1,633	631	1	51.0	25.4	5.8	2.1	20 21	1 5	0	253	92	47	17
Nedlar Farms, Inc. Peterborough, New Hampshire	<u>Б</u>	7,820	870	489	1	60.09	26.2	9	30	6 17		4	116	53	61	36
Nelson Poultry Farm Burket, Indiana	PC	3,096	997	498	ı	53.2	2.92	5.8	15	14 1	5 7	-	163	53	55	15
Newcomer Poultry Farm Potosi, Missouri	PC	12,000	1,320	436	1	52.6	25.0	.3	75	7 2	2 92	0	330	42	18	∞
	PC	2,200	497	169	1	51.7	25.8		2.1	2	0 6	0	178	4	4	-
Orchard Knoll Poultry Farm Lisbon, Ohio	<u>P</u>	3,691	099	368	1	59.2	26.5	6.1	22	6 11	1 9	2	119	41	42	28
Park's Poultry Farm East River Road, Cortland, New York	PC	150	127	7.0	1	57.0	27.5	6.3	3	2	3 1	0	2.1	8	7	4
Pine Top Poultry Farms & L. C. Meador Rt. #3, Box 27, Richmond, Virginia	PC	1,400	242	157	ı	62.7	26.0	6.3	23	0	3 1	0	147	0	3	0
Poye Farm & Hatchery Rt. #1, Box 27, Royal Oak, Michigan	PP	1,400	326	182	'	57.7	25.9	5.9	6	4	8 5	0	89	7	11	9
Rowley's Poultry Farm Rt. #2, Box 33, Puyallup, Washington	PC	3,000	156	89	•	57.0	24.8	6.0	18	0	0	0	95	0	2	0
Salsbury's, Dr. Charles City, lowa	PP	1,150	929	248	173	,	24.7	5.4	21	12 1	8	0	217	2.1	œ	-
Shealy's Poultry Farm, H. E. Rt. #2, Box 42, Leesville, South Carolina	PC	3,000	388	180	,	55.3	25.8	6.2	12	5	6 1	-	101	6	11	5
Sires Hatchery & Breeding Farm Rt. #2, Box 307, Woodinville, Washington	PC	800	400	242	1	0.09	25.5	5.7	18		3	-	149	3	17	5
I WICHELL, M. E. WILL #1. Exeter, New Hampshire	P.	3,434	568	206	1	54.7	25.0	5.8	4	5 11	_	0	172	8	7	3
windaker Farms Stratham, New Hampshire	PC	4,399	542	305	211	-	25.6	5.7	16	6 1	10 6	9	110	25	27	23
WHITE PLYMOUTH ROCKS																
Botkin Foutity Farm Berea, Kentucky Colonial Doubting Earn	PC	1,205	216	155	1	63.3	25.8	6.2	12	0	3	0	77	1	=	2
Pleasant Hill, Missouri	PP	200	177	7.0	178	-	25.6	6.1	15	0	0	0	81	0	-	0

WPR (continued)																	
Lunham White Rock Farm Waynesville, Ohio	PP	1,400	633	343	'	56.6	26.9	6.7	10	6	10	9	2 155	5 16	67	5	
Dunn's Poultry Farm Three Rivers, Michigan	PC	1.500	631	211	,	49.0	26.0	9.9	23		14	-	0 256	2		4	
Holtzapple Poultry Farm Elida, Ohio	PC	2,655	2,431	1,293	1	57.0			124	19	<del> </del>	14	-	6	1 2	7 45	
Lawton, A. C. & Sons Foxboro, Massachusetts	PC	2,057	610	372	209				23	2				- 80			l
Lee's Poultry Farm & Hatchery Brookville, Ohio	PC	1,097	301	225		66.7	25.9	6.7	2	2	2	5	5 6	62 7		91 6	l i
Martin's Hatchery, Inc. Ramsey, Indiana	PC	3,761	389	166	'	52.3	25.5	6.9	∞.	2	7	0	9	07 09	) 12	2 5	
Merkle Poultry Farm, Frank Claypool, Indiana	PC	1,455	360	182	,	58,7	25.7	5.9	11	3	.3	2	1 7	71 17	7 17		
Park's Poultry Farm East River Rd., Cortland, New York	PC	251	234	143	ı	58.7	26.3	6.9	9	3	3	3	1	33 11		4 5	
Pinecroft Poultry Farm Owosso, Michigan	PC	300	291	181	F	61.7	26.7	6.4	9	4	9	4	3 8	81	8 1	8 7	
Sires Hatchery & Breeding Farm Rt. #2, Box 307, Woodinville, Washington	PC	200	161	06	f	57.3	25.9	5.5	3	3	3	2	1 3	38	3 10	0 1	
RHODE ISLAND REDS																	
Brundage, Harold Danbury, Connecticut	PC	2,500	169	66	,	60.3	25.5	6.2	12	0	0	0	0	84	0	4 2	
Del Rio Farm ( George G. Haws) Rt. #1, Box 460, Mesa, Arizona	PP		352	170	ı	59.7	25.4	0.9	6	3	9	1	1 7	77 17		9 7	
Desaulnier, R. J. Gave Junction, Oregon	PC	6,000	283	73	-	44.7	24.5	5.2	19	0	2	0	0	57 14	4	5 0	
Ford Poultry Farm, The Coopersville, Michigan	PC	276	202	96	-	56.7	25.3	5.8	6	0	3	0	0	78	-	6 2	
McRae Poultry Breeding Farm Milwaukie, Oregon	PC	1,700	396	161	198	•	24.7	6.2	13	5	œ	_	-	38	4	5 3	
Mt. Fair Farm Watertown, Connecticut	PC	1,000	444	309	-	67.3	26.1	6.7	6	9	∞	∞	ω,	55 36	5 41	1 35	
Norfolk County Agric. School Walpole, Massachusetts	PC	099	630	177	154	'	25.6	5.9	20	2	16	-	-1	95 32	- 01	9 3	- 1
Parmenter Reds, Inc. Franklin, Massachusetts	PC	12,032	3,102	1,449	193	,	25.5	5.8	61	40	45	13	9 36	360 183	12	5 81	
Pineland Red Farm Mayesville, South Carolina	PC	362	358	173	197	1	25.2	6.3	7	9	9	4	3	69 12		16 7	
Schaible, Louis D. Shiloh, New Jersey	PC	5,000	1,170	619	227	1	24.7	6.2	32	12	20	12	12 164	71	-	65 56	
Vermont State School Randolph Center, Vermont	PC	587	586	163		46.3	25.6	5.9	10	6	6	0	0	88 36		5	
BARRED PLYMOUTH ROCKS																	
Bishop, R. Walter Guilford, Connecticut	PC	390	65	20	175	'	24.0	5.6	6	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	1
Eberhardt Poultry Farm West Liberty, Illinois	PC	500	135	89	185	'	25.9	5.7	3	2	8	-	1 2	59	2	8	
Frizzell's Peachblow Farm Charlestown, New Hampshire	PC	3,482	280	85	'	48.0	25.0	6.1	12	8	6	0	0	09	8	3 1	
Lake Winthrop Poultry Farm Holliston, Massachusetts	PC	829	391	192	•	60.0	26.0	5.8	12	2	6	-	1 116	_	9 1	0 7	

-9-

	Dodingood II S B O B Sires Dams	Candidates Tested for Tested for	Entered Av. Egg Production 2 Av. Egg Wt. Av. Egg Wt. Av. Body Wt. Total With 40 or more Daughters Or More Or More Total Mith 8 Or More	No.	396 118 - 47.3 24.9 5.9 8 5 7 0 0 57 23 6 3	446     280     -     61 7     26.1     7.1     9     7     9     8     7     52     33     33     26	1,124 566 - 63.8 25.0 6.6 17 13 16 7 5 142 69 52 43	600 324 - 59.0 27.0 6.4 15 7 13 5 4 73 50 41 25	264     153     191     -     25.9     6.5     11     2     5     2     1     61     9     14     5       261     95     -     48.3     25.5     5.9     20     1     2     1     0     83     4     4     0	
	Pullets on Farm				3,191	2,400	3,000	2,700	2,000	
	Pullorum Class 1				PC	PC	PC	PC	44 D4	
Breeder's Name and Address					Martin's Hatchery, Inc. Rameev, Indiana	Mt. Fair Farm Watertown, Connecticut	Parks Barred Rock Farm Altoona, Pennsylvania	Parmelee, Harold Rockfall, Connecticut	BLACK AUSTRALORP Edmonds, George W. Luverne, Minnesota  P WHITE WYANDOTTE Fluhrers' Poultry Farm Independence, Missouri	☆ (1